App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1929-30 (R.A) **File No.** 24-29

Serial Nos. 1—2.

Later References
L.c. 22-29 -(1&2)
L.B 152-30 (1&2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

P.29.E **Part B for** November 1929.

SUBJECT

Suggestion of Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy that instead of registered occupants of land assessed to the prescribed amount of land revenue, persons actually paying such amount of land revenue be allowed to vote at the elections to the Rep. Assembly and Legis. Council.

2) To Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy, R.A member, T. Narsipur
Letter no Rel 311 dt 16.11.1929.

State that his suggestion has been noted for consideration at the time of revising the rules, as it involves the an amendment of the existing rules and that it cannot be given effect to in connection with the approaching elections to Rep. Assembly and Legis. Council.

Neil Pillai
20.11.29.

Rel 49. (1

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | App. F. III—2] of 192

Serial No.

From. B.S. Puttaswamy. R.A Member. J. Narainpur
letter dated 11-11-1929.

As regards the qualifications of Voters of the Rep. Assembly and legislative Council, suggests that for reasons explained, the actual payment of Kandayam should be taken as the basis for inclusion of the names in the Voters lists and not the ownership of Khata and requests that the names of all those who pay a Kandayam of Rs 25/- or more be included in the lists of Voters.

A. 11

As per the Present rules, "Every registered occupant of land situated in a Constituency, and assessed to land revenue of not less than Rs 25/- per annum payable to Government" is qualified as an Elector in a Rural Constituency. Vide rule 2 (a) (1) of notification No. P.2397 / Legis 12-23-4 dated 7-11-1923.

Page 79 of the Manual Vol I.

Mr. Puttasami says that there are persons who actually pay Kandayam of Rs 25/- and above & who cannot be included in the Electoral Rolls as they are not Khatedars & adds that it is unfair to force persons to get Khatas transfered to their names in order to become Voters & Requests that under these circumstances explained that instructions may be issued to include the names of all persons who pay Kandayam of Rs 25/- or more as Voters. This question was considered by the Rules Committee & the draft Manuals revised suitably to this effect.

This involves a change of Rules and it is a point for orders whether the change proposed could be effected just now when the Electoral Rolls of all the Constituencies are nearing Completion as per Calendar.

Subts for orders.

The existing rule is as stated at A above.

BVN 14-11-29.

14-11-29

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Rel 49.

(2)

Serial No.

The Rules Committee have suggested the substitution of "owner" for "registered occupant". But it may not be possible to give effect to this suggestion until the system of record of rights has come into force throughout the state.

Mr. Purushottam suggests that the persons who pay the prescribed amount of land assessment may be treated as entitled to vote & stand for election. But the payment of Kandayam is not always an indisputable evidence of ownership.

It seems best to leave the rules in this respect stand as they are. There is no great difficulty for the owners of land to get the khatas transferred to their names, if they do not stand in their names. Any amendment of the rules at this juncture when the voters' lists are being printed and have to be published about a fortnight hence will unsettle the work of the officers engaged in this duty.

14/4/29

Secy)

Please put up a suitable draft-reply inviting his attention to the existing rules & that his suggestion can be held for consid.

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

ask the favour of Changing the under.

D. M. 14/11

Submitted with a draft.

BVN 15-11-29

15.11

fair draft

15/11

6685 15/11

Submitted with a typed fair draft.

BVN. 15-11-29.

Secy)

15/11

May be applied

D. M. 15/11

3rd member

16.11.29

Issued

D. M. 16/11

16/11

Issued No. Rel. 311 Dated 18. 11. 1929.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
AND
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

B

WD 01634—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1 NOV 1929
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

The Law Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

While expressing my humble and sincere thanks to the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, on their [illegible] approval expressed in connection with the adjournment motion in the Representative Assembly in regard to the revision of the list of electorate for the District Boards, I beg to state that I am not quite satisfied with the orders passed in this matter. I understand that the Deputy Commissioners of all the districts have issued instructions to the enumerators concerned, to enlist only those persons in the [illegible] for the Local Boards, which they may be entitled to vote, in accordance with the existing rules, and I have given my views to the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] not to include [illegible] who they are entitled to be included [illegible] who actually pay taxes, cannot be excluded on the ground that they are not [illegible] and their names are not yet entered in the registers and in Taluka where record of rights [illegible] the Khatas are still under [illegible] for instance, it is rather difficult for many persons to get Khatas transferred to their names in time for the elections and delay.

Under these circumstances it is just & equitable if the Government were to make the actual payment of taxes and not the ownership of Khata as the basis. As this is a matter where the Government might sympathetically view the whole question and issue orders to the various Deputy Commissioners

to

PHJ/A

to include the names of all the persons who pay Rent/yam of Rs.25-0-0 or more as Voters. I may also request that the list of Voters to the District Board and Representative Assembly and Legislative Council be almost similar.

Hoping that my humble submission be kindly approved and treated urgently.

I beg to remain,

Your most obedient servant,

Dated, 11/4/29
T. Narasipur

B. Puttaswamy

K. A. Venkar, T. Narsipur.

Rel 54.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
NO. 192
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
AND
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

(3)

The third Member of Council,

to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

While expressing my humble and sincere thanks to the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, on their sympathetic approval expressed in connection with the Adjournment motion in the Representative Assembly in regard to the revision of the list of electorate for the District Boards, and for their kind orders thereon, may I suggest that there still remain certain points which requires a speedy redress?

I understand that the Deputy Commissioners of all the districts have sent instructions to the authorities concerned, to enlist only those persons in whose name stands Khata for Rs. 250 and more. Probably that may be strictly in accordance with the existing rules, and I have no grievance on that score. But I am informed that in the Kolar District Board election the majority of the voters never actually pay the land revenue direct to the Government. Still according to the rules, they are entitled to be enrolled among the voters. But there are persons who actually pay Kandayam over Rs.250 and they cannot be included on the ground that they are not Khathedars. Many Khatedars are dead and their legal representatives are not yet made Khatedars and in Taluks where record of rights has been introduced and hissas the Hissa are still under preparation as in T. Narsipur Taluk for instance, it is rather a fair order for some of the Khatas transferred to their names as it involves unnecessary expenses and delay.

Under these circumstances it is just and suitable if the Government were to make the actual payment of land revenue and not the ownership or Khata as the basis. As this is the proper stage the Government might sympathetically view the whole question and issue orders to the various Deputy Commissioners

to

to include the names of all the persons who pay Kandayam of Rs.25-0-0 or more as Voters. I may also suggest that the lists of Voters to the District Board and Representative Assembly and Legislative Council are almost similar.

Hoping that my humble suggestion be kindly approved and treated urgently.

I beg to remain,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Puttaswamy

Dated, 11.11.29
T. Narsipur.

R. A. Member, T. Narsipur.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
13 NOV. 1929
No. M 231

May be sent to RA Branch

Rel 56.

13/11/29

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
15. NOV 1929
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Rel 56.

To

The First Member of Council,

to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

While expressing my humble and sincere thanks to the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, on their sympathetic approval expressed in connection with the adjournment motion in the Representative Assembly in regard to the revision of the list of electorate for the District Boards, and for their kind order thereon, may I suggest that there is still a grievance which requires a speedy redress?

I understand that the Deputy Commissioners of all the Districts have issued instructions to the authorities concerned, to enlist only those persons in whose name stands Khatas for Rs.25. and more. Prabably that may be stricktly in accordance with the existing rules, and I have no grievance against the Deputy Commissioner's; But it so happens that a number of Khatedars never actually pay the required amount of Kandayam to the Government. Still according to the rules, they are entitled to be included among the voters. But there are persons who actually pay Kandayam over Rs.25 and they cannot be included on the ground that they are not Kathedars. Many Khatedars are dead and their legal representatives are not yet made Khatedars and in Taluka where record of rights has been introduced and the hissas are still under preparation as in T. Narsipur Talu for instance, it is rather unfair to force persons to get Khatas transferred to their names as it involves unnecessar y expenses and delay.

Under these circumstances it is just and equitable if the Government were to make the actual payment of Kandayam and not the ownership of Khata as the basis. As this is the proper stage the Government might sympathetically view the whole question and issue orders to the various Deputy Commissioners

to

(6

to include the names of all the persons who pay Kandayam of Rs.25-0-0 or more as Voters. I may also suggest that the list of Voters to the District Board and Representative Assembly and Legislative Council are almost similar.

Hoping that my humble suggestion be kindly approved and treated urgently.

I beg to remain,

Your most obedient servant,

Dated, 11.11.29.
T. Vaniyambadi.

R. A. Member, T. Narsipur.

Sec. to G. Rel 68.

(7)

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.
OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt 15-11-37
Petn. Regr. No. 817

To

The Dewan,

the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

While expressing my humble and sincere thanks to the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, on their sympathetic approval expressed in connection with the adjournment motion in the Representative Assembly in regard to the revision of the list of electorate for the District Boards, and for their kind order thereon, may I suggest that there is still a grievance which requires a speedy redress?

I understand that the Deputy Commissioners of all the Districts have issued instructions to the authorities concerned, to enlist only those persons in whose name stands Khatas for Rs.25. and more. Prabably that may be strictly in accordance with the existing rules, and I have no grievance against the Deputy Commissioners; But it so happens that a number of Khatedars never actually pay the required amount of Kandayam to the Government. Still according to the rules, they are entitled to be included among the voters. But there are persons who actually pay Kandayam over Rs.25 and they cannot be included on the ground that they are not Kathedars. Many Khatedars are dead and their legal representatives are not yet made Khatedars; and in Taluks where record of rights has been introduced and hissas are still under preparation as in T. Narsipur Taluk for instance, it is rather unfair to force persons to get Khatas transferred to their names, in order that they might become voters, as it involves unnecessary expenses and delay.

Under these circumstances it is just and equitable if the Government were to make the actual payment of Kandayam and not the ownership of Khata as the basis. As this is the proper stage the Government might sympathetically view the whole question and issue orders to the various Deputy Commissioners

to

to include the names of all the persons who pay Kandayam of Rs.25-0-0 or more as Voters. I may also suggest that the list of Voters to the District Board and Representative Assembly and Legislative Council are almost similar.

Hoping that my humble suggestion be kindly approved and treated urgently.

I beg to remain,

Your most obedient servant,

Dated, 11-11-29.
T. Narasipur

R. A. Member, T. Narsipur.

R.A. 24-29-2.

(9)

No. Rel 311 Dt 18-11-29

FAIR DRAFT.

To

B.S. Puttuswami, Esq.,
R.A. Member, T.Narasipur Taluk.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 11th November 1929 suggesting that instead of registered occupants of land assessed to land revenue of the prescribed amount, persons actually paying such amount of land revenue may be allowed to vote at the ensuing elections to the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council, I am directed to state that the suggestion involves an amendment of the existing rules and has been noted for consideration at the time of revising the rules. It cannot be given effect to in connection with the approaching election. I have the honour to be etc.

Signed by Mr. N. Seetharamayya

For Secy to Govt.
Law Deptt.